

**PULASKI TOWNSHIP**

**RESOLUTION #399**

**A RESOLUTION OF PULASKI TOWNSHIP, BEAVER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA, OPPOSING HOUSE BILL 1620 (PN 2146), ENTITLED THE “WIRELESS INFRA-STRUCTURE DEPLOYMENT BILL”**

**WHEREAS, broadband service is a critical catalyst for economic development, student achievement, quality healthcare, and the efficiency of local governments. As such Pulaski Township supports the deployment of broad band services – both wired and wireless – in our community and throughout the Commonwealth; and**

**WHEREAS, a relatively new wireless technology, known as distributed antenna systems or DAS, includes the placement of wireless towers and antennae in the public rights-of-way; and**

**WHEREAS, Pennsylvania municipalities are charged by state law with the management of the public rights-of-way, including not only vehicular and pedestrian traffic, but also the numerous facilities installed by public utilities and related companies. Municipalities must manage these facilities to maintain public safety and preserve the character of our communities; and**

**WHEREAS, pursuant to federal law, municipalities have the right to regulate the “placement, construction, and modification” of wireless facilities through their local zoning authority so that the deployment of these facilities is achieved in an orderly fashion. The FCC has also issued multiple orders stating in detail how municipalities may regulate these facilities; and**

**WHEREAS, House Bill 1620 (PN2146), entitled the “wireless Infrastructure Deployment” bill, would strip municipalities of their legal authority to regulate wireless facilities both within and outside the public rights-of-way undermining public safety and the protection of the rights-of-way, limit a municipality’s ability to negotiate and collect reasonable fees for co-location on municipal infrastructure, and mandate municipal cooperation in excess of that required by the Federal Government;**

**NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED,**

**1. That the Board of Supervisors of Pulaski Township, Beaver County does hereby express its opposition to HB 1620 (PN2146) because it is not in the best interests of Pennsylvania.**

**2. That this resolution shall be sent to our State Representative(s), State Senator, Governor and all members of the House Consumer Affairs Committee, which is the Committee which HB 1620 (PN2146) has been assigned.**

---

**George L. Hage II, Chairman of  
Pulaski Township Supervisors**

**January 8, 2018**